



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,086	10/076,086 02/15/2002		John Mark Royle	87288.1620	8484
30734	7590	08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER &				AZAD, A	BUL K
		JARE, SUITE 1100 T AVE. N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304				2654	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/076,086	ROYLE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		ABUL K. AZAD	2654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
Period fo	• -						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F	<u>ebruary 2002</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
· .	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44	4(-)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/04/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wegner (US 4,048,654).

As per claim 1, Wegner teaches, "a method for digitally generating sound from phase and amplitude information of a narrow bandwidth signal", comprising the steps of:

- "(1) receiving said amplitude information and said phase information of said narrow bandwidth signal" (Fig. 8, elements 51 and 52);
- "(2) determining phase-derivative information from said phase information" (col. 7, lines 37-49);
- "(3) applying frequency gain to said phase-derivative information" (Fig. 8, element AGC);
- "(4) summing results of step (3) with an audio wave carrier having an audio band frequency, and outputting control information that includes said results of step (3) imparted to said audio wave carrier" (Fig. 8, element 72);

Art Unit: 2654

"(5) controlling an oscillator with said control information, wherein said oscillator outputs frequency modulation information that varies with respect to said phase-derivative information"(Fig. 7, element 64); and

"(6) converting, at an output sample rate that is higher than said audio band frequency, said amplitude information and said frequency modulation information to an analog amplitude/frequency modulated speaker control signal" (col. 7, lines 50-66).

As per claim 2, Wegner teaches, "wherein said amplitude information and said phase information have an input sample rate that is lower than said audio band frequency, wherein step (3) comprises up-sampling said phase-derivative information to said output sample rate and applying said frequency gain to said up-sampled phase-derivative information, the method further comprising: (7) up-sampling said amplitude information to said output sample rate prior to step (6)" (Figures 3 and 5).

As per claim 3, Wegner teaches, "wherein step (7) further comprises filtering components of said input sample rate from said up-sampled amplitude information" (col. 7, lines 50-66).

As per claim 6, Wegner teaches, "wherein step (3) comprises delaying said phase-derivative information to maintain coherence with said filtering" (Fig. 7).

As per claim 7, Wagner teaches, "further comprising scaling said amplitude information to system gain" (col. 7, lines 20-26).

As per claim 8, Wagner teaches, "further comprising scaling said phasederivative information to system gain" (col. 7, lines 20-26).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 10, Wagner teaches, "wherein said amplitude information and said phase information have an input sample rate that is substantially equal to said output sample rate" (col. 7, lines 50-66).

As per claim 11, Wagner teaches, "further comprising scaling said amplitude information to system gain" (col. 7, lines 20-26).

As per claim 12, Wagner teaches, "further comprising scaling said phasederivative information to system gain" (col. 7, lines 20-26).

As per claims 13-17 and 19-20, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (US 4,048,654) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Rotpla-Pukkila et al. (US 6,732,070).

As per claim 4 and 5, Wegner does not explicitly teaches, "wherein said filtering comprises performing an interpolation operation on said up-sampled amplitude information and wherein said filtering comprises a two- step sinc low pass filter interpolation operation". However, Rotpla-Pukkila teaches, "wherein said filtering

Art Unit: 2654

comprises performing an interpolation operation on said up-sampled amplitude information and wherein said filtering comprises a two- step sinc low pass filter interpolation operation" (col. 11, lines 29-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use teaching of interpolation in the invention of Wagner because one ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that provide a batter quality wideband signal output.

6. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (US 4,048,654)..

As per claims Wegner does not explicitly teach, "wherein said input sample rate is approximately 200 Hz, said output sample rate is approximately 48.8kHz, and said audio band frequency is approximately centered around 680 Hz". Wegner teaches band frequency centered around 38 kHz, and sampling rate is 50 Hz to 15 kHZ. (col. 6, lines 34-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use input sample rate is approximately 200 Hz, said output sample rate is approximately 48.8kHz, and said audio band frequency is approximately centered around 680 Hz because the choice of the sampling rate is routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality.

Art Unit: 2654

Contact information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ABUL K. AZAD whose telephone number is (571) 272-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHEMOND DORVIL can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ABUL K. AZAD Primary Examiner Art Unit 2654